

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/664,081		09/17/2003		Toshiya Uemura	T36-159070M/KOH	5081
	21254 7590 03/03/2005		03/03/2005		EXAMINER	INER
MCGINN & GIBB, PLLC 8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD					JACKSON JR, JEROME	
SUITE 200		JUSE RUAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	VIENNA, V	A 22182	2-3817		2815	
					DATE MAILED: 03/03/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

AK

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Comments	10/664,081	UEMURA, TOSHIYA				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Jerome Jackson Jr.	2815				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sheet with t	the correspondence address				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION maions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a represent of the provision of the	. 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3t d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS te, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed O) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 i	December 2004.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ Th	is action is non-final.					
3) 🗌	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	Disposition of Claims						
4)🛛	Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application	n.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
·	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11-24</u> is/are rejected.						
-	Claim(s) <u>10</u> is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.	•				
Applicati	on Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠	0)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 November 2003</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment	• •						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413) lail Date				
3) 🔲 Inforr	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	_	mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2815

Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. There is no enablement for a "plurality of swollen portions". There is no enabling description or figures or any precise information of an embodiment with a plurality of swollen portions. Mere recitation or mention of a "plurality" is not enabling for one of ordinary skill to build the device.

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-5,7,12-14,16-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Jp'028.

See figure 5a where mount frame 3e has a substantially flat top surface. Claim 1 is anticipated. Claim 2 is rejected as the "swollen portion" 3e is "integrated" with the mount frame 3. Claims 3-5 are rejected as 3e is rotationally symmetric, comprises an inclined surface 3f,3g, and supports substantially the center of gravity of the device 4. Claim 7 is rejected as the swollen portion 3e supports a surface below n-electrode 4c. Claim 12 is rejected as light is reflected 'uniformly" in all directions. Note there is no specifically claimed measure of uniformity or particularly claimed structure to unequivocally distinguish over '028. Claim 13 is rejected as per claim 2. Claim 14 is rejected as 3e and 3 are formed of the same material as they are integral.

Claim 16 is anticipated or obvious as the product of producing the device does not structurally distinguish the final product over '028. Patentability of a product by process claim is determined by the final product, regardless of how actually made, In re Hirao 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See also In re Brown 173 USPQ 685; In re Luck 177 USPQ 523; In re Fessman 180 USPQ 324; In re Avery 186 USPQ 161; In re Wertheim 191 USPQ 90; and In re Morosi 218 USPQ 289, all of which make it clear that it is patentability of the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by

process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that applicant has the burden of proof in such cases, as the above caselaw makes clear.

Claim 17 is rejected as the swollen portion of '028 has a high thermal conductivity. There is no particularly claimed magnitude of thermal conductivity to structurally distinguish over '028. Claims 18-21 are rejected as per claims 3-5,7. Claim 22 is rejected as figure 5a shows 3e contacting a bottom substrate surface. Claim 23 is rejected as 3e is in the shape of a truncated cone. Claim 24 is rejected as figure 5a shows less than an entirety of device 4 supported by swollen portion 3e.

Claims 1-9,11-14,16-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jp '028 in view of Kneissel '308.

Jp '028 describes GaAs based led material. To emit in the blue spectrum for shorter wavelength emission it would have been obvious to have practiced an led as '308 with a reflecting mount as '028 to emit blue light with efficient reflection. Claim 9 is obvious structure. Claim 6 is obvious as the '308 device is annularly symmetrical and positioning the led over the "swollen" mount would have been suggested for proper mounting and even emission. Claim 8 is obvious considering multiple wires would spread the current evenly to an annular electrode as '308. Claim 11 is obvious as the annular shape of '308 provides efficient and laser confined emission.

Claim 10 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerome Jackson Jr. whose telephone number is 571 272 1730. The examiner can normally be reached on t-th 9-5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Thomas can be reached on 571 272 1664. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/664,081

Art Unit: 2815

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

jj

JEROME JACKSON PRIMARY EXAMINER